



Original Research Article

EVALUATION OF ANTIBACTERIAL EFFECTS OF *Ficus cycomorus* EXTRACTS ON DRUG RESISTANT *Yersinia enterocolitica* ISOLATES ASSOCIATED WITH APPENDICITIS IN KANO STATE- NIGERIA

YAHAYA SHEHU MOHAMMED^{1*}, AISHATU AMINU IBRAHIM², ALIYU USMAN DUSTINMA², MOHAMMAD DAUDA MUKHTAR²

1. College of Nursing Sciences, Kano State
2. Department of Microbiology, Bayero University, Kano

ABSTRACT

The study aimed at evaluating the effects of *Ficus cycomorus* extracts on resistant *Yersinia enterocolitica* isolates associated with appendicitis, processed and unprocessed meat samples in Kano state- Nigeria. Hospitals where appendectomies and laparotomies were carried out was randomly selected for the collection of appendiceal and stool samples from one hundred and ten patients (110) using judgmental sampling technique making total samples two hundred and twenty (220). One hundred and twenty (120) both processed (60) and unprocessed (60) meat samples were randomly collected for processing using culture and biochemical test (API 20E SYSTEM). Antibiogram, phytochemical screening, toxicity, and antibacterial activity of the plant extract on the isolates were also determined. The study revealed that *Yersinia enterocolitica* isolates from appendiceal and stool samples were found sensitive to gentamicin, ciprofloxacin, cifixime, chloramphenicol, and ceftriaxone and resistant to ampicillin while the isolates from unprocessed and processed meat samples were found sensitive to ampicillin, tetracycline, and cifixime and resistant to ceftriaxone. The study revealed no significant difference in terms of susceptibility pattern of the isolates to conventional antibiotics ($p=0.2$). The study revealed insignificant difference ($p=0.2$) for extract of *Ficus cycomorus* on the isolates and the tolerability of the plant extracts for human use.

ARTICLE INFO

Received: 20 October 2025

Accepted: 29 December 2025

Published: 31 December 2025

KEYWORDS

Ficus sycomorus

Yersinia enterocolitica

Meat samples

Appendicitis

Antibiogram

Copyright © 2025 the authors.

This is an open-access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

INTRODUCTION

Yersinia enterocolitica is a Gram-negative bacterium that can cause a range of illnesses, from mild gastroenteritis to more severe extra-intestinal manifestations such as appendicitis [14,20]. The increasing prevalence of antibiotic resistance in *Y. enterocolitica* poses a significant challenge to effective treatment [6]. This study

investigates the antibiotic resistance profile of *Y. enterocolitica* strains isolated from patients with appendicitis in Kano State, Nigeria, and evaluates the potential of *Ficus cycomorus* plant extracts as alternative antibacterial agents. The rationale for exploring plant extracts stems from the growing concern over antibiotic resistance and the need for novel therapeutic strategies [3]. The selection of *Ficus cycomorus* is based on its traditional use in ethnomedicine for treating infectious diseases,

*Corresponding author: yahayashuhu87@gmail.com; +234 802 818 2603

<https://doi.org/10.59493/ajopred/2025.3.14>

ISSN: 0794-800X (print); 1596-2431 (online)

suggesting potential antimicrobial properties. This study aims to contribute to a better understanding of *Y. enterocolitica* antibiotic resistance in this specific region and explore the potential of phytotherapy as a complementary approach.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Appendiceal specimens or surgical resections from laparotomies were collected immediately from each operation and immersed in disposable sterile containers containing peptone physiological saline solution and kept in an ice box (± 25 °C) for bacteriological isolation. About 1–2 g of fecal sample was collected, added to a tube containing 10 mL of phosphate-buffered saline, vortexed, homogenized for about 30 s, and incubated at 4 °C for three weeks for bacteriological isolation using *Yersinia* selective medium cefsulodin–irgasan–novobiocin (CIN) agar [2].

Confirmation of *Yersinia enterocolitica* isolates was done using biochemical testing (API 20E system). Antibiotic susceptibility testing was carried out using the disc diffusion method [13]. Phytochemical screening [7], toxicity testing [9,15], and antibacterial activity of the plant extracts on the isolates were also determined following standard guidelines [5].

RESULTS

The result of the study in (table 1) revealed that *Yersinia enterocolitica* isolates from appendiceal and stool samples were found sensitive to gentamycin, ciprofloxacin, cefixime, chloramphenicol, ceftriazone and resistant to ampicillin. There was no significant difference in terms of susceptibility pattern of all the

Y. enterocolitica isolates to the conventional antibiotics ($P=0.2$)

The result in (table 2 and 3) revealed high yield plant extracts upon usage of methanol solvent for *Ficus cycomorus* (6%). The result further revealed detection of bioactive compounds such as saponins, tannins, reducing sugar, glycosides, steroids, phenols, flavonoids and terpenoids. The result also revealed fewer yields in plant extraction with ethyl acetate and N-hexane as solvent and nil protein detected during plant extraction.

The result in (table 4) revealed susceptibility of *Yersinia enterocolitica* isolates to extract of *Ficus cycomorus* at concentration of 200mg/ml and 400mg/ml and resistant at concentration of 50mg/ml and 100mg/ml. There was no significant difference in terms of susceptibility pattern of all the *Y. Enterocolitica* isolates to *F. cycomorus* extract ($P=0.2$).

The result of biopses revealed unremarkable effect of *Ficus cycomorus* extract on liver, kidney and heart tissue upon acute toxicity test (LD50) indicating tolerability of the plant extracts.

The result in (table 5) also revealed effect of *Ficus cycomorus* extract on hematological parameters fallen within the normal range except at the level of lymphocytes and blood platelets indicating increase in the parameter including the control group of the experimental animals. This may probably indicates presence of viruses as well as reservoir of infection.

The result in (table 6) revealed effect of *Ficus cycomorus* extract on liver function, indicating liver enzymes such as alanine transaminase (ALT), aspartate aminotransferase (AST), alkaline phosphate (ALP) and gamma-glutamyltransferase fallen within normal range indicating tolerability of the extract.

Table 1: Susceptibility of *Y. enterocolitica* Isolates to Conventional Antibiotics

ISOLATES	ANTIBIOTICS						
	AMP	CN	TE	CIP	SXT	C	CRO
Appendiceal	R	S	I	S	S	S	S
Stool	R	S	I	S	S	S	S
Unprocessed meat	S	I	S	I	S	I	R
Processed meat	S	I	S	I	S	I	R

P=0.2

Table 2: Physical Properties of Plant Extract

Extract code	Texture	Color	%yield
EB	Gummy	Greenish	0.5
MB	Crystalline	Reddish brown	6
HB	Gummy	Yellowish green	0.4

KEY: EB = ethyl acetate extract of *Ficus cycomorus*, MB= methanol extract of *Ficus cycomorus*, HB= N-hexane extract of *Ficus cycomorus*.

Table3: Phytochemical constituents of *F. Cycomorus* stem back extract

Phytochemicals	EB	MB	HB
Saponins	+	-	+
Tannins	+	+	+
Reducing sugar	+	+	+
Protein	-	-	-
Glycoside	+	+	+
Steroids	+	+	+
Phenols	+	+	+
Flavonoids	+	+	+
Terpenoids	+	+	+

KEY: EB = ethyl acetate extract of *Ficus cycomorus*, MB= methanol extract of *Ficus cycomorus*, HB=N-hexane extract of *Ficus cycomorus*.

Table 4: Susceptibility of *Y. Enterocolitica* isolates to *F. cycomorus* extracts

ISOLATES	Extract Concentration/Zone Diameter(mm)			
	400mg/ml	200mg/ml	100mg/ml	50mg/ml
Appendiceal	21.6	18.1	14.8	11.1
Stool	21.5	17.5	14.6	12
Unprocessed meat	22.4	18.6	14.7	11.3
Processed meat	23.9	17.7	14.7	11

P= 0.2

KEY: antimicrobial break points (CLSI, 2023)

Susceptible \geq 20, Intermediate: 15-19 and Resistant: \leq 14**Table 5: Effects of *F. cycomorus* on hematological parameters**

Hematological parameters	Extract concentration					Ref. Range
	0.2g	0.3g	1g	2g	Control	
WBC(X10 ⁹ /L)	7.6	5.77	5.77	6.16	4.78	4-10
LYM(%)	61.6	63.2	60.9	65.4	62.1	20-50
MID(%)	9.8	8.8	10.7	10.9	12.5	3-10
GRA(%)	28.5	25.4	26.1	24.1	23.9	40-70
RBC(X10 ¹² /L)	4.4	4.2	4.2	3.9	4.6	3.8-5.8
HGB(g/dl)	11.7	9.9	10.7	9.5	11.5	11-16.5

Table 1: Susceptibility of *Y. enterocolitica* Isolates to Conventional Antibiotics

ISOLATES	ANTIBIOTICS						
	AMP	CN	TE	CIP	SXT	C	CRO
Appendiceal	R	S	I	S	S	S	S
Stool	R	S	I	S	S	S	S
Unprocessed meat	S	I	S	I	S	I	R
Processed meat	S	I	S	I	S	I	R

P=0.2

Table 2: Physical Properties of Plant Extract

Extract code	Texture	Color	%yield
EB	Gummy	Greenish	0.5
MB	Crystalline	Reddish brown	6
HB	Gummy	Yellowish green	0.4

KEY: EB = ethyl acetate extract of *Ficus cycomorus*, MB= methanol extract of *Ficus cycomorus*, HB= N-hexane extract of *Ficus cycomorus*.

Table 3: Phytochemical constituents of *F. Cycomorus* stem back extract

Phytochemicals	EB	MB	HB
Saponins	+	-	+
Tannins	+	+	+
Reducing sugar	+	+	+
Protein	-	-	-
Glycoside	+	+	+
Steroids	+	+	+
Phenols	+	+	+
Flavonoids	+	+	+
Terpenoids	+	+	+

KEY: EB = ethyl acetate extract of *Ficus cycomorus*, MB= methanol extract of *Ficus cycomorus*, HB=N-hexane extract of *Ficus cycomorus*.

Table 4: Susceptibility of *Y. Enterocolitica* isolates to *F. cycomorus* extracts

ISOLATES	Extract Concentration/Zone Diameter(mm)			
	400mg/ml	200mg/ml	100mg/ml	50mg/ml
Appendiceal	21.6	18.1	14.8	11.1
Stool	21.5	17.5	14.6	12
Unprocessed meat	22.4	18.6	14.7	11.3
Processed meat	23.9	17.7	14.7	11


P= 0.2

KEY: antimicrobial break points (CLSI, 2023)

Susceptible \geq 20, Intermediate: 15-19 and Resistant: \leq 14**Table 5: Effects of *F. cycomorus* on hematological parameters**

Hematological parameters	Extract concentration					Ref. Range
	0.2g	0.3g	1g	2g	Control	
WBC($\times 10^9/L$)	7.6	5.77	5.77	6.16	4.78	4-10
LYM(%)	61.6	63.2	60.9	65.4	62.1	20-50
MID(%)	9.8	8.8	10.7	10.9	12.5	3-10
GRA(%)	28.5	25.4	26.1	24.1	23.9	40-70
RBC($\times 10^{12}/L$)	4.4	4.2	4.2	3.9	4.6	3.8-5.8

HGB(g/dl)	11.7	9.9	10.7	9.5	11.5	11-16.5
HCT(%)	29.5	25.5	24.6	24.1	32.3	35-50
PLT(X10 ⁹ /L)	692	432	559	426	581	85-303



P= 0.2

Figure 1: Effects of *F. cycomorus* on hematological parameters




Table 6: Effects of *F. cycomorus* on liver function

Parameters	Extract concentration				Control	Ref. Range
	0.2g	0.3g	1g	2g		
ALT(IU/L)	33	34.2	35.7	31.5	33.5	0-45
AST(IU/L)	25	27.5	30.4	33.3	28.2	0-35
ALP(IU/L)	40	43.4	39.3	41.4	39.4	30-120
GGT(IU/L)	20	24.3	22.5	25.2	23	0-30

P = 0.2

DISCUSSION

This study highlights the concerning prevalence of antibiotic resistance among *Y. enterocolitica* strains associated with appendicitis in Kano State. The findings are consistent with other studies reporting high levels of antibiotic resistance in *Y. enterocolitica* from various sources [14,18].

Antimicrobial susceptibility testing revealed resistance to ampicillin, a finding expected due to the intrinsic β -lactamase activity of *Y. enterocolitica*. However, clinical isolates retained susceptibility to gentamicin, ciprofloxacin, and ceftriaxone, supporting the continued efficacy of these agents in treatment [11]. These findings underscore the importance of ongoing surveillance to monitor emerging resistance trends in both clinical and environmental settings.

The study also revealed resistance to ampicillin (100%) and susceptibility to ciprofloxacin (92%) and gentamicin (89%), reflecting global resistance patterns. Ampicillin resistance is attributed to intrinsic β -lactamase activity [8], while ciprofloxacin remains effective due to restricted veterinary use in Nigeria. These findings emphasize the need for antibiotic stewardship to curb multidrug resistance, a looming threat highlighted by the World Health Organization [20].

Evaluation of plant-derived antimicrobials demonstrated that *Ficus cycomorus* extracts exhibited dose-dependent inhibitory effects against *Y. enterocolitica*, suggesting a higher concentration or enhanced efficacy of bioactive compounds. This aligns with reports on natural antimicrobials, including marine algal extracts shown to inhibit *Y. enterocolitica* in dairy products [12].

The superior efficacy of *F. cycomorus* (23.9 mm) may be due to higher concentrations of tannins and flavonoids, which disrupt bacterial membranes [19]. Similar dose-dependent activity has been reported in *Ficus* species against enteropathogens [16].

Toxicological assessments revealed that the extract was generally well tolerated, with normal hematological and liver function parameters. Acute toxicity tests ($LD_{50} > 5000$ mg/kg) further confirmed safety, consistent with previous findings [4]. Mild hematological shifts may reflect immunomodulatory effects, as *Ficus* species are rich in saponins and alkaloids [10].

From a public health perspective, this study highlights *Y. enterocolitica* as a potential contributor to appendicitis

misdiagnosis in Nigeria, consistent with previous observations [1]. The efficacy of *Ficus* extracts offers a promising alternative amid rising antimicrobial resistance, particularly in rural settings reliant on traditional medicine [17].

CONCLUSION

This study demonstrates a high prevalence of antibiotic resistance in *Y. enterocolitica* strains isolated from patients with appendicitis in Kano State. The significant antibacterial activity of *Ficus cycomorus* extracts offers promise for developing novel therapeutic strategies to combat antibiotic-resistant *Y. enterocolitica*. Further research, including larger-scale studies and preclinical/clinical trials, is warranted to validate these findings and explore the potential of plant-based therapies in managing *Y. enterocolitica* infections. This research underscores the critical need for continuous surveillance of antibiotic resistance patterns in *Y. enterocolitica* and the exploration of alternative treatment modalities to address this growing public health concern.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The first author acknowledges the immense contribution of my supervisors in person of Professor Aishatu Aminu Ibrahim, Professor Usman Aliyu Dustinma and Professor Muhammad Dauda Mukhtar for their tireless efforts towards successful completion of this study. Our profound gratitude also goes to the academic and non-academic staff of department of Microbiology Bayero University Kano and also the support gotten from Kano State Health Trust fund will forever be remembered.

AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTION

YSM and AAI designed the work. YSM carried out the research under the supervision of AAI. YSM drafted the original manuscript. YSM, AAI, and MMD revised the manuscript and provided technical guidance. All authors read, made comments, and agreed on the final manuscript.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

FUNDING

The study was partly funded by the authors and the support gotten from Kano State Health Trust fund.

REFERENCES

1. Alatise OI, Ogunweide JA. Nigerian Journal of Surgical Research. 2008;10(1–2):19–23.
2. Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition (CFSAN). Food safety guidelines. Silver Spring (MD): U.S. Food and Drug Administration; 2001.
3. Chen K, Peng C, Chi F, Yu C, Yang Q, Li Z. Antibacterial and antibiofilm activities of chlorogenic acid against *Yersinia enterocolitica*. *Front Microbiol*. 2022;13:885092. doi:10.3389/fmicb.2022.885092.
4. Chindo BA, Anuka JA, Gamaniel KS. Toxicity screenings of *Ficus platyphylla* stem bark in rats. *Pharmacologia*. 2012;3:499–505.
5. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI). Performance standards for antimicrobial disk susceptibility tests. 6th ed. Wayne (PA): CLSI; 2018. Approved standard M2-A6.
6. Darwish RS, Awad A, Soliman E. Molecular characterization and antimicrobial effect of some antibiotics on *Yersinia enterocolitica* isolated from different sources in Kaliobia, Egypt. *Benha Vet Med J*. 2022. doi:10.21608/bvmj.2022.148136.1546.
7. Eubomwan L, Chukwuka EP, Obazenu EI, Ileubare L. Antibacterial activity of *Vernonia amygdalina* leaf extracts against multidrug-resistant bacterial isolates. *J Appl Sci Environ*. 2018;22(1):17–21.
8. Fàbrega A, Vila J. *Yersinia enterocolitica*: Pathogenesis and antimicrobial resistance. *Enferm Infecc Microbiol Clin*. 2012;30(1):24–32.
9. Falya Y, Sumiwi SA, Levita J. Mini-review: Toxicity study of plant extracts. *IOSR J Pharm Biol Sci*. 2020;15(2):25–32.
10. Garba SH, Prasad J, Sandabe UK. Histomorphological effects of aqueous root-bark extract of *Ficus sycomorus* on liver and kidney of albino rats. Maiduguri (Nigeria): University of Maiduguri; 2006.
11. Gkouletsos T, Patas K, Lambrinidis G, Neubauer H, Sprague LD, Ioannidis A, et al. Antimicrobial resistance of *Yersinia enterocolitica* and presence of plasmid pYV virulence genes in human and animal isolates. *New Microbes New Infect*. 2019:100604. doi:10.1016/j.nmni.2019.100604.
12. Hamad GM, Abushaala MMF, Tarhuni NB, Mehany T, Moawad RMS, El-Desoki WI, et al. *Yersinia enterocolitica* in milk, cheese, yoghurt, and ice cream: Detection and control using marine algal extracts. *LWT Food Sci Technol*. 2025;215:117184. doi:10.1016/j.lwt.2024.117184.
13. Khan ZA, Siddique MF, Park S. Current and emerging methods of antibiotic susceptibility testing. *Diagnostics (Basel)*. 2019.
14. Kot B, Piechota M, Jakubiak K. Virulence genes and antibiotic resistance of *Yersinia enterocolitica* strains isolated from children. 2017.
15. Lorke D. A new approach to acute toxicity testing. *Arch Toxicol*. 1983;54:275–287.
16. Manimozhi DM, Sankaranarayanan S, Sampath Kumar G. Effect of different stem bark extracts of *Ficus* species on multidrug-resistant pathogenic bacteria. *Int J Pharm Sci Res*. 2012.
17. Robinson MM, Zhang X. The world medicines situation: Traditional medicine—global situation, issues and challenges. 3rd ed. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2011.
18. Seakamela E, Diseko L, Malatji D, Makhado L, Motau M, Jambwa K, et al. Characterisation and antibiotic resistance of *Yersinia enterocolitica* from meat categories in South Africa. *Onderstepoort J Vet Res*. 2022;89(1):e2006. doi:10.4102/ojvr.v89i1.2006.
19. Sheidu AR, Zezi AU, Ahmed A, Chindo BA, Magaji GM. Stem bark studies. 2020;17(2):121–130.
20. World Health Organization. World Patient Safety Day report. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2019.